

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/879,835	BENSON, MICHAEL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas Duong	2145	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas Duong.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Janice Rosier.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Spivak, Reg. No. 43,148, the attorney of record at Morrison & Foerster LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Rosier, returned the call and indicated that the application is abandonned since the applicant cannot be contacted any longer.